

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/065,246	09/27/2002		Keith Glenn Mattson	201-0645 GAS	2133
28549	7590	11/22/2005		EXAMINER	
KEVIN G. I ARTZ & AR		A		BOEHLER, AN	NE MARIE M
28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034				3611	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/065,246	MATTSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anne Marie M. Boehler	3611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 At 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 14-22 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the orect Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2 Certified copies of the priority documents 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3611

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 8, 9, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto in view of Asanuma (USPN 5,576,957).

Yamamoto teaches a power steering control system including a lateral dynamic sensor (lateral acceleration sensor 17), a steering wheel angle sensor 15, a road wheel angle sensor, a yaw rate sensor 18, and a controller responsive to all of the sensors for determining a corrected steering wheel input (at step 4). The controller in reaction computing unit 23 determines a desired yaw rate in response to steering angle. A modified steering wheel input T2 is determined as a function of the desired yaw rate. The corrected input is also responsive to the reaction steering input determined at 23 and therefore is responsive to actual and desired yaw rate.

Yamamoto is silent regarding a road wheel sensor.

Asanuma shows a steering system using various sensors, including a steering wheel angle sensor 16 and front and rear wheel road angle sensors 14, 15, for determining required power assist.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Yamamoto steering system with a road wheel angle sensor, as taught by Asanuma, in order to provide position feedback to the controller.

Art Unit: 3611

3. Claims 2-4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto and Asanuma as applied to claims 1, 5, 8, 9, and 12-19 above, and further in view of Klosterhaus (USPN 5002142).

Yamamoto discloses only one front wheel steering motor.

Klosterhaus shows a power steering system using a pair of front wheel steering assist motors to control left and right front wheels.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Yamamoto steering system with two front wheel steering motors, as taught by Klosterhaus, in order to separately control wheels on left and right sides of the vehicle, for better cornering control.

4. Claims 6, 7, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto and Asanuma as applied to claims 1, 5, 8, 9, and 12-19 above, and further in view of Serizawa.

Yamamoto discloses front wheel steering only.

Serizawa discloses steering rear wheels in response to various control factors, including yaw rate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to control rear vehicle wheels using the Yamamoto control operation, as taught by Serizawa, in order to provide better vehicle control.

- 5. Claims 14-22 are allowed.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie M. Boehler whose telephone number is 571-272-6641. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Monday-Thursday, and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anne Marie M Boehler Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3611

amb